

Attachment 2

SENATOR PATRICK LEAHY'S INTERFERENCE IN THE F-35 BASING DECISION

Cray to Clark (3-12-10) "Vermont Senator Patrick Leahy, who, as one of the most senior members of the U.S. Senate Appropriations Defense Subcommittee and Co-Chair of the Senate National Guard Caucus, has led a decades' long effort to ensure that the Vermont Air National Guard has the finest facilities, equipment, and training, and, in doing so, position Vermont to compete well for this important aspect of our national defense system." #40700

Bacon to Blankenship (7-27-10) "**I heard from Sen Leahy's staff today** and they are looking at a 9 Aug date to meeting here in DC. The point of this meeting is to get all players together (Burlington ANG, ACC, and Air Staff) to [sic] all to the personal staffers (JP Dowd and Will Goodman) about noise abatement as it relates to the city of Burlington, VT... Sounds like Burlington already has all the answers. Pooter has been working this issue for a while." #43700

Ormsby to ACC/A8F (8-3-10) "**Sen Leahy's office is requesting** that we send an O-4 to O-6 level rep to attend an F-35 noise abatement meeting in DC on 9 Aug." #43700

Bacon to Chatman (8-3-10) "I've been tasked to provide representatives to attend a meeting on Capitol Hill regarding Noise Abatement Procedures, specifically aimed at Burlington, VT. Several staffers, **at the request of Sen Leahy**, have asked that we, along with representatives from Burlington VT and ACC, sit down to discuss what is being done to address noise concerns from the local populace." #43777

Engelman to Chatman (8-9-10) "I have sent an email to my "bosses." I have suggested that we let IEI know that staffers are asking about things, and what they might hear from Burlington Guard folks may not be the same as what IEI tells them. (I have been told that we need to do a bit of a noise 101, and discussion of what the noise contours at these installations really mean before the draft documents are released.)" #43777

Bacon to Engelman (9-30-10) "**I just got back from an engagement on Capitol Hill with members of Sen Leahy's staff** concerning F-35 Noise Abatement procedures." #44974

Ferguson to Yonkers (10-5-10) "Just a quick update on a 30 Sep Congressional contact by Col Lowell Nelson. He joined Maj Bacon from FMBL to **meet with members of Sen Leahy's** (VT) staff: Mr JP Dowd, Legislative Director, and Congressional Fellow, Will Goodman. Over the last few weeks **they've expressed interest in the noise contours that will be included** in the Draft EIS for the F-35 Ops, which will including [sic] Burlington ANGB as a preferred alternative. **Sen Leahy wants** to support us in managing the expectations of constituents in the Burlington area who may be concerned about increased noise from an F-35 beddown. The specific points of the discussion in the meeting were the accuracy and relevancy of the F-35 noise data being

used to project noise contours. **They wanted to make sure we weren't "rushing" the EIS when better information might be available soon.** Col Nelson indicated this was unlikely but he would check; we've since confirmed that there is no pending information that would cause a change to the F-35 noise calculation, and we're preparing an email for FMBL to forward to that effect. Col Nelson reported a positive meeting overall, with Sen Leahy's staff trying to ensure smooth progress on the EIS. V/R Kathy." #45629

Nelson to Etter (10-5-10) "Attached is correspondence about the **recent meetings with Sen Leahy's staff** on F-35 noise." #45630

Ferguson to Leahy staffers (10-5-10) "We appreciate the opportunity to meet with you on 30 Sep to discuss our shared concern for the most accurate information for the public in the Draft EIS for F-35A Operations basing. As discussed in our meeting, **the draft EIS is being developed with the latest available information about noise emissions of the F-35A, obtained at the April 08 data collection conducted at Edwards AFB** with the F-35 AA-1, and using local course rules and operational limitations as currently observed at Burlington ANGB. **After consultation with our experts here at the Pentagon, they confirmed that there is no near term expectation of additional data on the F-35A, and there would be no reason to delay the Draft EIS. Additionally, they advised us that the noise contours published in the Draft EIS would not change in the Final EIS. It should be noted that the noise contours to be released in the EIS are projections, based on information about an aircraft still in development, to facilitate informed decision-making. The actual noise contours at a selected beddown location would not be realistically available until the production F-35A aircraft are operating at a location and local flight rules are finalized and in use.**" #45631

Goodman to Caputo (10-8-10) "We're looking for your and Baz's thoughts on this letter. We'd really appreciate edits and comments. Given the cold shoulder we've gotten from big AF, we're thinking this may be the way to go...do you have thoughts on that?" #45119

Caputo to Goodman (10-15-10) "Based on my conversations with Sheryl Parker yesterday, I think I can convince ACC and the Air Staff that using Karnes 3 flight profiles are essential to maintaining the integrity of the EIS process by presenting the most accurate and representative F-35 data for noise contour development. With that being said, I respectfully request a few more weeks to work this issue prior to sending **the letter from Sen Leahy to the SECAF.** I will keep you posted weekly on any updates or potential issues that arise." #45119

Leahy to Donley (11-9-10) "I was pleased that the Air Force selected the Air National Guard Station in Burlington, Vermont as one of the Air Force's preferred locations for initial basing of an F-35 Joint Strike Fighter squadron.....As the Air Force prepares the initial final draft EIS for release, **I wanted to urge you to delay the EIS until the Air Force incorporates the latest flight profile data for the F-35 which is known as 'Karnes 3'....By incorporating the 'Karnes 3' flight profiles into the draft EIS, the Air Force may be able to demonstrate to local communities that the F-35 can depart and recover at noise levels less than those generated when the aircraft operated at full power....I understand this request may further delay the current F-35 timeline, but given the overall delay of the F-35 program,**

we should not rush to publish less accurate and more alarmist information when the public would be better served by a minor delay and more accurate F-35 flight profile data. The potentially beneficial operational information in the 'Karnes 3' profiles far outweighs any cost of further delaying the release of the EIS." #45651 and 46121

Nelson to Taylor (11-10-10) [Researchers' note: this email is commenting on **Senator Leahy's letter to Secretary Donley**]: "This is virtually the same message that I got from VT staffers in my visit with them. I presume at that point somebody had made them aware of pending Karnes 3. They mentioned that they would send a "letter of concern" but this seems a little stronger than that." #45693

Zander to Rezac (11-15-10) "**Sen Leahy sent a letter requesting the AF delay release of the draft F-35 EIS.** We are in the process of preparing a response. Currently there is no certified data available that would support a decision to delay release of the Draft EIS—whatever discussed with the TAG needs to correspond with what we provide Sen Leahy." #46118

Rezac to Dockery (11-15-10) "SECAF has recently (dated 9 Nov 10) received a letter from Sen Leahy 'urging the Air force to delay the EIS until the latest flight profile data for the F-35, known as "Karnes 3", can be incorporated'." #46118

Engelman to Chatman (11-16-10) "Wanted to keep you informed on a few things happening with Vermont ANG, Vermont Senator Leahy, availability of "Karnes 3" and also discuss where we are on things again.... Now in addition to that we have a letter from Senator Leahy urging the AF to "delay the EIS and incorporate the latest flight profile data for the F-35 which is known as "Karnes 3." Now I suspect the AF will not want to delay the EIS, however I have been asked to assess when "Karnes 3" might be available, and if it will make any real difference in the contours. You will note the similarity of this request to comments from Maj Gen Etter from NGB....There also seems to be some difference in opinion on the degree of comprehensiveness...information from Joe Czech at Wyle that indicates that any proposed changes were based on a limited data set, 1 sim run per profile." #45731

Engelman to Penland (11-18-10) "**This meeting has been set up because of the Statement Maj Gen Etter made at the SBESG about changing the power setting, and Sen Leahy's letter about delaying the EIS for Karnes 3 flight profile updates.**" #45857

Reed to Nelson (11-18-10) [Researchers' note: this email is regarding a response letter to Leahy]: "I also think it is important to clarify (in 3rd para) that the projected contours are likely to change once we have operating experience and the particular AFB's AICUZ gets updated (i.e., they are always modeled noise contours that tend to change over time, but not truly a projected versus actual situation)." #45937

Reed to Loschinsky (11-18-10) "...the proposed response to Senator Leahy ... we intentionally left the answer a bit open-ended and did not commit to any COA...." #45937

Penland to Bush (11-18-10) "**I don't know how you use Karnes 3 for one place and Karnes 2 for all others?!? Seems like we would be setting ourselves up for a legal challenge.**" #45943

Baldy to SAF/LL (11-24-10) "Background: Senator Leahy wrote to the SECAF expressing his concern about releasing the F-35 Operational EIS before the Karnes 3 profile data is incorporated. For your information...this is coming our (IEI/A7C) way. Lynn is there a Karnes 3 profile that's certified/official?" #45693

Penland to Dutkus (4-18-11) "**A letter from Sen Leahy from Vermont pushing for use of Karnes 3 is what started us down this road.** At the time Karnes 3 was still in development and was showing lower power setting and potentially lower noise. Reduced noise contours may or may not come to fruition as the speeds changed as well and increases exposure time, so it may be a wash. Karnes 3 was not originally planned to be used in the current/ongoing EISs for F35, but as a refinement later on down the road. **Sen Leahy somehow found out about it and pushed to include it into OPS EIS that is ongoing.** The other part of the politics is the issue with the city of Valparaiso outside of Eglin. They have sued us once and dropped the lawsuit while we looked at other options. However, when the Eglin SEIS hits the streets it will have the same preferred alternative as before and we assume they will sue us again. That is why we must be able to explain the big difference between the Mineral Wells and Edwards data, as it will come up and we could be accused of cooking the books. This has far reaching implications as a legal challenge to the Eglin SEIS could put all F35 EISs at risk. This is MGen Weida and Lt Gen Carlisle's concern." #47410

Caputo to Wright, Cray, Goodrow, Clark, Harris, Finnegan, and Baczewski (4-26-12) "**We may need to get Senator Leahy involved and have his office contact Senator Susan Collins' office....**This is where the Congressional letter below is being originated from. I would be more than happy to get involved. I just need some direction on whether you would like me to go VFR direct to Senator Collins' office or work this through Will Goodman." #51240

Hanson to Smolinsky (6-27-12) "...**got a call this morning from Sen Leahy's office** regarding F-35 basing at Burlington. His first request was for a general breakdown or synopsis of the comments for the basing action, such as the ratio of favorable vs unfavorable (i.e. 80/20, 50/50, etc). They would like this sometime within the next week or so, if possible. His second request was for a copy of the basing scoresheet matrix for Burlington." #53419

Racasner to Meyer (7-10-12) "Wanted to pass to you the below response SAF/PAO provided to Reporter John Briggs (Burlington Free Press) on the F-35A Scoring. **Sen Leahy has been supportive and would probably like to see the response provided.**" #53554

Leahy, Sanders, Welch to Donley (7-11-12) "We ask, as you consider the decision of whether to deploy the F-35 to the Vermont National Guard, that the Air Force look favorably on these and other noise abatement measures." #53562

Engelman to Black (9-11-12) "I appreciate you taking the time from your busy schedule to discuss the Part 150 program's ability to help mitigation [of] military aircraft noise. I have pasted the proposed answers to **Senator Leahy's questions** below to make sure I have not overstated anything. Should our lawyers suggest that we include similar language regarding working with the FAA and the airport authority in the Record of Decision (should Burlington be selected), I want to make sure you would be ok with that. If you prefer nothing regarding this be included in the ROD on this issue please let me know." #54620

{The following three email exchanges are part of #55514}

Britt to Jensen (10-19-12) "Will Goodman called me. He heard through the grapevine that the Air Force was getting feedback that the Vermont delegation was not supportive of potentially basing the F-35 in VT. He stated this was coming from as high as the Vice Chief's office. **Sen Leahy wants to ensure the Air Force that this position is not true. The VT delegation is strongly supportive of the Air Force basing the F-35 in Burlington.** I told him you would pass this along to the relevant decision-makers, so in your discussions on this issue, please ensure that this is properly represented."

Jensen to Smolinsky (10-19-12) "Please see below the conversation with Will Goodman, **Sen Leahy's MLA. He wants to make sure the AF leadership knows they want the F-35.** I think this is stemming from the article below:..."

Britt to Allen (10-19-12) "Regarding our telecom. **Sen Leahy is concerned that the AF may think VT is not in favor of the F-35 being based in VT. However, that is not the feeling of the delegation.**" #55514

Britt to Pleus (10-19-12) "**Will Goodman, MLA for Sen Leahy, called me today and asked that I share a concern from Sen Leahy.** There is a vocal group in VT who is not in favor of basing the F-35 in Burlington, however, this view is not shared with the VT delegation. On the contrary, the VT delegation is strongly supportive of basing the F-35 in Burlington. **Sen Leahy will bring this up in his telecon with SECAF on 25 Oct** and I told Will that I would pass this onto the CSAF as well. He understands the basing process and Burlington will be scored, however they're scored, but **he is concerned about the perception this vocal minority might have on strategic Air Force decisions.**" #55544

Jensen to Smolinsky (11-15-12) "**Senator Leahy has requested a follow up** to the information provided in a previous request back in July (see email below) with the total number of comments received by the Air Force (including and counting petition signatures as individual comments). There is no need to characterize whether comments were positive or negative—just looking for the total." #56018

Oliver to Kilbourn (11-15-12) "Col Kilbourn – **Looks like Sen Leahy has a question** regarding the number of comments received from the folks in the Burlington area. The actual task is below. We'll need an answer by COB today, though; we have lots of stuff going on tomorrow and we'll be all out of the office

for a good portion of the day. Nick provided similar numbers several months ago (see email at the very bottom). Hopefully this is an easy one to answer.” #56018

Goodman to Drew (12-12-12) “I wanted to be sure **that you received Senator Leahy’s response** to the Stop the F-35 protest that occurred outside his Burlington office this evening.” *[Researchers’ note: the 12-12-12 public comments of Senator Leahy were attached in full]*. #56561

Stefanek (no “to” line) (12-13-12) “...approximately 100 people opposed to the F-35 in Burlington staged a protest at Sen Leahy’s office. The **Senator “refused” to meet with the opponents** and issued the following statement.... “Any objective review of the public record clearly shows that these issues have received extensive public discussion and review over the last year. Now the Air Force is weighing the evidence and Vermonters’ views and suggestions. They will reach a decision in the months ahead.” #56577

Air Force Congressional Contact Report (1-16-13)

Hill contacts: Will Goodman (MLA Sen Leahy, D-VT), David Weinstein (MLA Sen Sanders, I-VT), 2x Staffers for Rep Welch (D-VT-01)

AF Contacts: Col Frank Freeman (SAF/IEI), Mr Mark Pohlmeier (A8PB), Mr Teran Judd (A8PB)

Subject: F-35 and KC-46 Candidate Scoresheets Discrepancies for Burlington AGS

Summaries: “...MLA requested a discussion as to **why the F-35 Candidate scoresheet and KC-46 Candidate scoresheet contained discrepancies between the environmental scores**. This discrepancy was not an error, but a product of different models consistently and systematically applied to their enterprises. When the Strategic Basing process began (F-35 Ops 1 and Ops 3 were among the very first), environmental criteria of noise and encroachment were **validated based on forecasted trends (FAA Part 150)**. The Strategic Basing process now uses actual environmental conditions. This is particularly important as the VT CODEL faces strong opposition to basing the F-35 in Burlington due to environmental concerns. In the end, the SecAF chose Burlington as the Preferred Alternative after reviewing the ACC site survey reported environmental concerns with Burlington AGS. The CODEL was pleased with the explanation.

Sen Leahy’s office called this meeting to address concerns with the F-35 and KC-46 candidate scoresheet discrepancies. A8PB explained the 3-stage Strategic Basing Process (SBP): Criteria, Candidate, and Preferred and Reasonable Alternative Selection (P&RA), which ultimately leads to EIS and Record of Decision (ROD) documentation. The F-35 candidate basing selection data call used FAA Part 150-0 rule-sets, where forecasted trends in encroachment and noise contours could be utilized. The SBP, however, has now evolved to capture actual noise and environmental trends. The KC-46 utilized this updated process, and resulted in a lower environmental score for Burlington. The concern centers on opposition to basing the F-35 at Burlington. Should citizens note this discrepancy, they could

point to a flawed process, tie up the basing decision in litigation, and possibly prevent the AF from allocating F-35 at Burlington.

The briefing team answered the criteria was applied systematically and consistently across each enterprise. The answers are different, but not incorrect. This was a product of a new, more mature modeling system. Furthermore, the AF recognizes the mass data call as an imperfect science. The Candidate phase screened the candidates with site survey teams to bring ground truth. Burlington was recognized as an encroachment risk. This information was presented to the SecAf with all the information in aggregate, and the location was still selected for F-35. Finally during the data call they scored so high, changing their environmental candidate scoresheet would not have moved them away from the final selection phase.” #56929

Wilder to Bush (1-17-13) “BLUF: SAF IEI **met with Sen Leahy’s office and had the following concern — AF may be misinterpreting FAA and HUD guidance/regs wrt noise contours** and characterization in the Draft EIS needlessly limiting or negatively misstating impact. How should we convey to ACC to make sure they either address or provide sufficient analytical supporting info (or do we direct to engage with HUD and FAA through consultations [sic]? Thoughts?” #56905

Engelman to Kilbourn (1-22-13)

“Apparently someone from Leahy’s office made this comment. HUD, FAA, and DOD use the same compatibility criteria. I still need to add a little bit more regarding what we have in the EIS, and I was going to add something at the end that says if they can point us to the specific section(s) that they feel we have mis-interpreted FAA and HUD guidance we will take a look at it. (Without them pointing us to the section that they feel mis-interprets the guidance we can’t really look at it.” #56930

Command Messages F35A: (written by VTANG) — **“Questions We Hope We Don’t Get:**

3) Do you know of any improprieties that Senator Leahy had his hands in that would have affected basing decisions in Vermont’s favor?” #58254

{The following seven emails all relate to Leahy’s concern that 65% of comments received were opposed to the basing}

Bryan to McFadden, Saldivar, Purvis (6-11-13)

“FYI – this article from the Burlington Free Press about the F-35 is creating a stir and **Sen. Leahy’s office is concerned and pushing all the buttons they can to find out what is going on.** If you can find anything or hear anything through AF PA please let me know. Article below.” #59447

McGhee to Kilbourn (6-11-13) “Thanks Mike—we’re working a response ... FYSA ... **Mr. Germanos received a call from Sen Leahy’s office directly.** He was on the phone while I was in his office.” #59549

Britt to Smolinsky (6-11-13) **“Sen Leahy’s office reviewed the comments** in the updated EIS and has confirmed the 80% ratio based on the comments appended. So they’re scratching their heads—where are the additional comments that lead to the 65% opposed accounting? Or is Mr. Germanos misquoted? I need to respond to Sen Leahy’s office ASAP, or better, I’d like to get the right SME on a telecom with Will Goodman.” #59549

McGhee to Ferguson (6-12-13) **“Sen Leahy’s office dialed in direct to Mr Germanos yesterday** evening and discussed the matter to learn more background; according to ACC, the **Senator’s staffer was hoping that the article was wrong and the document was correct (i.e., that we found more supportive comments vs opposing comments to the Burlington location....** It is also important to know that, even with the correction, Sen Leahy’s staffer, while they understood the conversation with ACC, **expressed concern with how ACC counted comments and derived at [sic] our numbers.** That is, Sen Leahy’s staffer believed Air Force should have counted “X” signatures on a petition called “Save the Air National Guard” as “X” comments in support of the basing at Burlington. Instead, ACC counted the overall petition as one supportive comment.” #59459

Ferguson to Welsh, Bridges (6-12-13) **“Sen Leahy’s staff called direct to Mr Germanos at ACC yesterday** afternoon to discuss the matter. ACC/A7N reports that Sen Leahy’s office was hoping the newspaper article was wrong and that the Air Force document was still correct. After Mr Germanos explained that the article was correct and the Revised Draft EIS did in fact contain an error, **the Senator’s office felt the Air Force should have characterized some of the public comments differently, which would reflect more community support....”** #59483

Howe to Robinson (6-12-13) **“SECAF/CSAF have asked SAF/IE for explanation of an item in the PA** Morning Report today regarding **an error in the draft F-35 Ops EIS** (draft is out now for public comment). **It states that 80% of comments in the first public comment period favored the ANG beddown at Burlington, 20% opposed. Accurate numbers are 35% supported, 65% opposed.** Article appeared in yesterday’s Burlington Free Press stating AF had put out erroneous information. Looks like admin error (correct data was published in two separate places in the 3-in thick draft EIS). Our project officer is accurately quoted in the article saying the error was found before the revised report was issued and was supposed to be fixed, but was not. **Sen. Leahy’s staffer inquiry is a complicating factor — they like the erroneous numbers.”** #59489

Oshiba to Byers (6-13-13) **“Sen Leahy’s office called ACC AO direct yesterday** to discuss the matter....I spoke with Col Kilbourn today, and they’re scrambling to provide answers—should have something ready by tomorrow. In addition, you’ll note the CSAF’s remark below: ‘Thanks Kathy. Actually **pretty tough to explain how the mistake was actually made – it’s a pretty big difference.**’” #59520

Engelman to Nash (8-20-13)

“FYI, I let Nick know that **Leahy was asking LL for dates.** He has identified (below) that those dates are optimistic, which is what we had heard from Col Kilbourn at the BRRP- so caveating any dates would be a good thing to do.” #62062

Nichols to Martin, Ferguson, Thomas (9-3-13)

“Ma’am/Generals:

Notes from today’s phone conversation between Senator Leahy and General Welsh.

-Sen Leahy opened with casual conversation and wanted to be a little more “parochial” concerning F-35

-He strongly supports F-35 in Vermont. Tiny vocal minority that is against it. He has received over 200 letters from the group; however, he has over 13k signatures for it

-He strongly urges the AF to not delay the RoD in light of the rumor that there would be a two year delay for Ops 3 (ANG)

-He understands the rationale of splitting the decision into two RoDs, one for Active Duty and one for ANG but asks for little or no delay in the two RoDs

-By not delaying the Guard decision affords more planning time prior to delivery of aircraft

-He concluded with a huge compliment of the current TAG and unit. “They are doing a great job and are the most loyal, patriotic people in the world. You would be proud of them and they would not disappoint you if they got the F-35.”

-General Welsh explained the current status of the basing process and offered to call Sen Leahy back once he and the SecAF get more information and get closer to making a decision

-Gen Welsh promised he would keep the TAG and Sen Leahy’s staff informed of each step as we come to a decision

Tasks:

-FMBL will take lead on informing Sen Leahy’s staff about the phone conversation

-Set-up follow-on engagement in late-Sept to mid-Oct with Sen Leahy

-LL will take lead on informing LtGen Clarke, 1 AF/CC, about the phone conversation

VR

George” #62303

Poulos to Will (9-5-13)

“They cancelled the meeting as I was walking in the door. Mr. Pohlmeier said there wasn’t enough polish on the slides to drag everybody through another review. They were going to have an internal phone call with ACC today and reschedule the BRRP. **There is a strong speculation that the ANG is the leak to Sen Leahy’s office.** Not sure how we’ll overcome that one, but Mr. P said he wasted way too much time, prepping the CSAF, so he’s going to be keeping the slides “close hold” from here on. He asked if we knew of the unknown phone guest. I told him we only got his name after the fact, and that the guy had called us offering his assistance (last Thursday). Lastly, Mr. P asked us if we knew of any noise mitigation

strategies that BVT could employ if selected. Maj Gen Cray (TAG-VT) said that they currently fly noise profiles, but those weren't taken into account with the F-35 noise contours. Mr. P would like to have a list available to present to the CSAF/SecAF on things that can be done if BVT is selected. He also asked about FAR Part 150 applications and the FAA's role in mitigation. I'm working with Rick Thomas in NGB/A7 to get good answer on both of these. More to follow." #62375

Bush to Kilbourn (9-11-13)

"In response to a Sen Leahy inquiry (Sept 2012).... Attached are the emails from Mr. Blackwell of FAA that provided A7CII the content of a response to Sen. Leahy regarding the FAA's part 150 program; Mr. Blackwell's response to Lynn E's email requesting he make sure that the folks at the airport were aware of what was said; and the response to inquiry that was prepared. We do not know if this was ever sent to Sen Leahy's staff." #62526

Germanos to Kilbourn (9-13-13)

"We did show Burlington as "Red" in population exposed....The 12 Sept sheet reflects weights that Lynn and I agreed to yesterday morning, **but shows Burlington "yellow" population exposed due to guidance given on 3 Sept (later that same morning, once it was realized that the slides had been released to Sen. Leahy),** but turned-over yesterday afternoon." #62544

Nichols to Owen (9-23-13) "Are you tracking this timeline for CONUS F-35 (Ops 1 and 3)? Please see below and attached. **Considering all the issues we have had with Senator Leahy's staff here in FMBL...."** #62708

Stefanek to Robins (9-26-2013)

"You may want to call Senator Leahy's office direct and let him know this article is riddled with inaccuracies." *[Researchers' note: she then criticizes the press article].*" #62919

Caputo to Baczewski, Clark, Harris (9-26-13) "FYI... **Alex Carnes has been working with Will Goodman and I think is taking over his position.** I was put in touch with him from working with Brian Lowe, Mayor Weinberger's new asst. **Alex has reached out to me on multiple occasions asking EIS questions and requesting information on safety statistics, etc. I just wanted to ensure you all knew I was communicating with him....**I will keep you all posted." #62927

Individuals mentioned in the citations

Ahmann, Michael L - LtCol USAF ANG 158 FW/XP "Torch"

Allen, John J. Jr – Col USAF SAF/IE, Senior Military Assistant, Asst Sec AF – Installations, Environment, & Logistics

Ardern, Thomas D – Civ GS-13 USAF ACC/A8BA "Hardturn"

Bacon, Christopher A - Maj USAF SAF/FMBL, Congressional Appropriations Liaison

Baczewski, David P - Col USAF ANG 158 FW/CC "Baz"

Baldy, Shawn - Civ USAF SAF/FMBL

Black, Elliott - Deputy Director, FAA Airport Planning and Programming (APP-2)

Blankenship, Jeffrey A – Maj USAF ACC/CCX "Blank"

Bridges, Timothy K - SES USAF SAF/IEI

Britt, Kerry D - Lt Col USAF SAF/FMBL, Congressional Appropriations Liaison

Bryan, Ian B – LtCol USAF

Byers, Timothy A - Maj Gen USAF AF/A7CI

Bush, Jack – Civ GS-14 USAF AF/A7CIB

Carnes, Alex - Office of U.S. Senator Patrick Leahy, Vermont

Caputo, Christopher P – LtCol USAF ANG 158 OSF/CC, F-35 Program Integration Ofc "Pooter"

Chamblee, Andrew K – Civ USAF AF-A3-5, Chief Bases, Ranges and Ops Sustainment

Chatman, Alexander A – LtCol OUSD (AT&L) JSF

Chupein, Edward - Civ USAF AF/A3O-BA

Clark, Joel A – Col USAF ANG 158 FW

Copans, Jon - Office of Representative Peter Welsh, Vermont

Cray, Steven A – Maj Gen USAF NGVT, Adjutant General, Vermont

Czech, Joseph J – Wyle, Principal Engineer

DeVine, Linda A - CARDNO TEC

Dittmyre, Bruce A - Civ USAF ACC/A8BA

Donley, Michael - Secretary of the Air Force

Downing, Micah - Blue Ridge Research

Drambruskas, Paul G - Maj USAF/ACC/A3TO

Drew, Thomas – NFG US NG VT ARNG

Dryden, Larry H – Civ USAF HQ ACC/A7PS

Dutkus, Steven A - Col USAF AF/A3O-AT

Edwards, Richard L - Col USAF ANG NGB/A7A, Asset Mgmt Division Chief

Efferson, Randal K - Col NGB/CF “Juicy”

Engelman, Lynn – Civ USAF AF/A7CIB, AF Noise/Encroachment Management

Ettenson, Gordon M - SES USAF AF/A3O, Deputy Director of Operations

Etter, William H - Maj Gen USAF NGB/CF, Assistant to the Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff, for National Guard matters

Faaborg, Anthony M - Maj USAF ANG NGB/A7AD

Ferguson, Kathleen I – SES USAF HQ SAF/IE, Assistant Secretary of AF for Installation, Logistics & Environment

Finnegan, Daniel P – LtCol USAF ANG 158 MXS/CC

Fick, Douglas E – Col USAF ANG 158 FW

Flood, Kevin J – Ctr USAF ACC/A8BA

Germanos, Nicholas M – Civ USAF HQ ACC/A7PS, Project Manager

Freeman, Frank III - Col USAF SAF/IEI

Gersten, Peter E - Brig Gen USAF/ACC/A5, Director of Plans and Programs “Gunz”

Goodman, Will – Legislative Fellow, Office of Senator Patrick Leahy

Hanson, Jeremy R - Lt Col USAF SAF/FMBL

Harris, Richard N – BGen USAF NGVT

Heavener, Troy S - Maj USAF ACC AFLOA/JACE-FSC

Holland, James P - Civ USAF SAF/IEI, Deputy for Installation Policy

Hostage, Mike - Gen, USAF/ACC/CC, Commander of Air Combat Command

Howe, Dave C - Brig Gen, USAF ACC/A7

Jackman, Thomas W - Col USAF ANG 158 FW/CV

Jensen, Jason D – Maj USAF SAF/FMBL

Johnson, Cara M - GS-14 USAF/AFLOA/JACE

Kilbourn, Jennifer – Col USAF HQ ACC/A7N

King, Brent - Col USAF ACC/A8B

Knudsen, Harry A – Civ USAF ANG NGB/A7R, Chief Resources Div

Kohns, Gerald P - GS-15 USAF HQ SAF/GC, Gen Counsel (Installations, Energy, Environment)

Leahy, Patrick - United States Senator, Vermont

LeClair, Michael A - CTR USAF ACC/A5FO

Loschinsky, Jason J – Maj SAF/IEI

Marek, Kevin P – Civ USAF ANG NGB/A7AM

Martin, James F - Maj Gen USAF SAF/FMB

McFadden, Nahaku A – Col USAF NGB-CF

McGhee, Michael F - Civ USAF SAF/IEE

Meyer, Michael W - Maj USAF SAF/PAX

Murr, Paul E - GS-14 USAF ACC/A8B “Shark”

Nash, Jay - Ctr USAF AF/A7CIB

Nelson, Lowell A – Col USAF SAF/IEI, Director Planning and Programs

Nichols, George E - Maj USAF HQ SAF-FM, Congressional Appropriations Liaison

Oliver, Geoffrey S - Ctr USAF SAF/IEI

Ormsby, Charles D (PhD) – Lt Col, USAF, Executive Officer, Directorate of Requirements

Oshiba, Edwin H - Col USAF AF/A7CI

Owen, John E - LtCol USAF SAF/LL

Parker, Sheryl K – Civ USAF HQ AF ACC/A7PS, Environmental Analysis Project Manager

Patriarca, Gene - Civ USAF AF/A7CIB

Penland, Michael W – Civ USAF AF/A3O-BR, AF Chief Operational Basing “Pappy”

Pennington, Steven - Civ USAF AF/A3O-B

Pleus, Scott L - Col USAF AF/CC “Rolls”

Peter, Kevin J - CARDNO TEC, Principal

Pohlmeier, Mark A - GS-15 USAF HQ AF-A8PB, Chief Strategic Basing Division

Poulos, Steven L – LtCol USAF ANG NGB/A8FB, Chief Strategic Basing Branch “Ziptie”

Purvis, Shannon M – Maj USAF NG NGB

Racasner, Tonya A - Civ USAF SAF/PAO

Reed, Les - Civ USAF SAF/GCN

Rezac, Craig - LtCol USAF ANG NGB/A7A

Robins, Benjamin S - LtCol USAF/LLP

Robinson, Lori J - LtGen USAF ACC/CV, Vice Commander of Air Combat Command

Rose, Kathy L – CARDNO TEC, Principal

Russell, Floyd M III - GS-15 USAF AFLOA JACE/FSC

Sabochick, John G - Civ USAF HQ/ACC/A7P-2

Saldivar, Randy L – Maj USAF NG NGB

Sample, James - Ctr USAF AF/A3O-BAR

Sanders, Bernie - United States Senator, Vermont

Satori, Peter A - Col USAF ANG NGB/A7, Director Installations & Mission Set “Puck”

Smolinsky, Frank - Civ USAF SAF/IE

Spacy, Bradley D - Col USAF SAF/LLS

Spencer, Kimberly - Tsgt USAF AF/CC

Stefanek, Ann - GS-14 USAF HQ SAF-PA, Media Operations Officer

Taylor, Scott T - LtCol USAF AF/A8PB

Thies, Eugene A - GS-14 USAF HQ ACC/A7NB

Thomas, Stephen W – Civ GS-13 USAF AETC/A5RJ, F-35 Program Analyst “Skid”

Tracy, John - Office of U.S. Senator Patrick Leahy, Vermont

Villemaire, Jason R - Capt USAF ANG 158 CES/CE

Weinstein, David - Senior Policy Advisor, Office of U.S. Senator Bernie Sanders, Vermont

Welch, Peter - United States Representative, Vermont

Welsh, Mark A III - Gen USAF AF/CC, Chief of Staff of the U.S. Air Force

Wilder, David P – Lt Col USAF HAF A7CIB

Will, Christopher J – Col USAF ANG NGB/A8F, Chief Force Planning Div “Blanks”

Wolters, Tod D - Maj Gen USAF SAF/LL

Wright, Adam G – Civ USAF ANG 158 MDG/SG, Environmental Manager

Yonkers, Terry A - Hon USAF SAF/IE

Zander, Steven W - Ctr USAF SAF/IEI